



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,425	03/26/2004	Hiroyuki Ichikawa	KASAP054	2611
22434 75	90 11/01/2005		EXAM	INER
BEYER WEA	VER & THOMAS LL	P	SY, MARIA	NO ONG
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Omiterine, o	11 71012 0250		3683	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/811,425	ICHIKAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mariano Sy	3683			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	uly 2005.				
·		s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Applicat	ion Papers					
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar				
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A 44 - 1	4.					
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 412)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on July 28, 2005 has been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato (US 6,523,813) in view of Muramatsu et al. (US 6,264,181).

Re-claims 1, 8, and 9 Kato disclosed, as shown in fig. 1-4, a fluid-filled engine mount comprising: a first mounting member 12; a second mounting member 14; an elastic body 16; a pressure-receiving chamber 60 filled with non-compressible fluid; an

equilibrium chamber 62 filled with the non-compressible fluid and partially defined by a flexible layer 52; an orifice passage 96 for connecting the equilibrium chamber to the pressure-receiving chamber, and tuned to a frequency band of engine idling vibrations; an orifice control member 64 operable by means of negative pressure exerted from an external space so as to restrict flow of the fluid through the orifice passage when an absolute value of the exerted negative pressure is greater than a predetermined value; and a negative pressure conduit 106 adapted to introduce negative pressure available from an air intake port of an internal combustion engine to the orifice control member via a pressure regulating valve.

However Kato failed to disclose the negative pressure conduit adapted to always introduce negative pressure available from an air intake port of an internal combustion engine to the orifice control member.

Muramatsu et al. teaches, as shown in fig.1, a vibration damping device comprising a negative pressure conduit 71 adapted to always introduce negative pressure available from an air intake port of an internal combustion engine to the orifice control member.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Kato with a negative pressure conduit adapted to always introduce negative pressure available from an air intake port of an internal combustion engine to the orifice control member, as taught by Muramatsu et al., in order to save cost.

Re-claim 2 Kato disclosed, as shown in fig. 1-4, further comprising: a rubber elastic plate 64 partially defining the pressure receiving chamber; and a working air

chamber 98 formed on an opposite side across from the rubber elastic plate in relation to the pressure-receiving chamber, and adapted to function as the orifice control member, wherein the negative pressure conduit is connected to the working air chamber so that the rubber elastic plate is suctioned to be held in contact with an interior face of the working air chamber to restrict a motion when the absolute value of negative pressure exerted to the working air chamber is greater than the predetermined value, and is moved away from the interior face of the working air chamber so as to be elastically deformable when the absolute value of the negative pressure is not greater than the predetermined value.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato in view of Muramatsu et al. as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Ide et al. (US 5,217,211).

Re-claim 3 Kato as modified disclosed, as shown in fig. 1-4, wherein the interior face of the working air chamber is defined by a recess 46 having a somewhat inward curve, and an opening of the recess is fluid-tightly close by the rubber elastic plate so as to provide the working air chamber, wherein the rubber elastic plate has a generally dome shaped having a smooth inner surface somewhat projecting into a pressure-receiving chamber side entirety, and having an outer surface with a central thick walled portion projecting outward in a central portion.

However Kato, as modified failed to disclose the negative pressure conduit is open to an approximately central portion of a bottom face of the recess.

Ide et al. teaches, as shown in fig. 1, a fluid-filled elastic having a negative pressure conduit 67 is open to an approximately central portion of a bottom face of a recess 62.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have change the negative pressure conduit of Kato as modified to a new location open to an approximately central portion of the bottom face of the recess, as taught by Ide et al., is a matter of design choice that has the same intended function of connecting the chamber to the vacuum source.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariano Sy whose telephone number is 571-272-7126. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 A.M. to 2:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan, can be reached on 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

My

M. Sy

October 18, 2005

JAMES MCCLELLAN PRIMARY EXAMINER 10/31/05